## EXHIBIT 40

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

COPART INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. C 07 02684 CW

CRUM & FORSTER INDEMNITY COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

COPY

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SIMON ROTE

San Francisco, California

Thursday, May 8, 2008

Reported by:
DARCY J. BROKAW
RPR, CRR, CLR, CSR No. 12584
Job No. 86978

1	San Francisco, California, Thursday, May 8, 2008
2	9:02 a.m 3:57 p.m.
3	
4	SIMON ROTE,
5	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
6	follows:
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
8	Here begins Media No. 1 of the deposition
9	of Simon Rote in the matter of Copart, Incorporated
09:0210	versus Crum & Forster Indemnity Company, et al., in
11	the U.S. District Court, Northern District of
12	California, Oakland Division. The Case Number is
13	C 07 02684 CW.
14	Today's date is May 8th, 2008. The
09:02 <b>15</b>	current time is 9:02 a.m. This deposition is taking
16	place at Bullivant Houser Bailey, at 601 California
17	Street, San Francisco, California, and is being
18	taken on behalf of the defendants.
19	The videographer is Ray Tyler, appearing
09:02 <b>20</b>	on behalf of Sarnoff Court Reporters and Legal
21	Technologies. The court reporter is Darcy Brokaw,
22	also representing Sarnoff Court Reporters.
23	All counsel, parties and the deponent,
24	please take notice that as part of the videotaping
09:02 <b>25</b>	of this deposition, very high-quality microphones

09:18 1	not going to change the date. We don't change the
2	dates on there. So it could have been a typo,
3	potentially. Because you have to understand, all
4	these items are manually keyed into our accounting
09:18 5	records, our system.
6	MR. LARSON: I'd rather you not speculate
7	as to what it might be, because we're not looking at
8	the 2003.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
09:18 10	BY MR. RUBY:
. 11	Q But what the "as of" date should be is
12	that that should be a snapshot date of the assets
13	actually owned as of that date and not any later
14	dates?
09:18 15	A Correct, yes.
16	Q Would the "as of" date also indicate the
17	accumulated amortization as of that date?
18	A In the particular accounts, yes. If you
19	go to the accumulated depreciation accounts, yes.
09:1920	Q All right. Now, this master asset list
21	appears to be organized by location; is that
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And Copart's locations have a number
09:19 25	assigned to them?

09:19 1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q And that number is sometimes referred to
3	as the yard number?
4	A Correct.
09:19 5	Q Is there any particular significance to
6	the term "yard"? Or is that just a term that Copart
7	uses to describe its locations where it operates?
8	A We typically call it a facility. In a lot
9	of the reports, when we set them up, we just call
09:1910	them yard numbers. So you can call it the yard
11	number, a facility number.
12	Q Some of the facilities that are assigned a
13	yard number truly have a yard there; is that right?
14	A If there is a yard number, there should be
09:20 15	a location that went with it, yes.
16	Q What I mean by "yard" is in common
17	parlance, that's sort of an open space. It may be
18	enclosed, but there's some open space there where
19	your inventory is stored?
09:20 <b>20</b>	A Correct.
21	Q And some of these yards are not or some
22	of these facilities are not just yards but there are
23	also buildings there, correct?
24	A Yes.
09:20 <b>25</b>	Q Have there been times when Copart has

09:20 <b>1</b>	owned a facility which is assigned a yard number,
2	and there are no buildings there; it's just a yard?
3	A Yeş.
4	Q So the fact that Copart assigns a yard
09:20 5	number to a location doesn't necessarily mean
6	there's a building there, right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Do Copart's yards usually have street
9	addresses associated with them?
09:21 10	A Typically, yes.
11	Q Has Copart ever owned or operated a yard
12	that did not have an associated street address?
13	A I'm not positive on that one.
14	Q Has Copart ever owned or operated a yard
09:21 <b>15</b>	which had no buildings on it but still had a street
16	address associated with it?
17	A How does that differ from the first
18	question?
19	Q Maybe it doesn't. My question is I
09:22 <b>20</b>	think we've established that at times, Copart has
21	owned facilities, which it calls yards
22	_
23	A Right.
	Q which are truly just yards; there's no
<b>24</b> 09:22 <b>25</b>	buildings there.
09.22 25	A Okay.

	SIMON ROTE 05/08/08
09:22 1	O Okay And my question now is: For one or
	Q Okay. And my question now is: For one or
2	more of those pure yards, is there sometimes a
3	street address associated with the yard even though
. 4	there's no building there?
09:22 5	A Yes.
6	Can I clarify something? With respect to
7	the yard numbers, I mean obviously from the
8	mechanics' standpoint, if we acquire an asset, I
9	need a method to keep track of that particular
09:22 10	location, I guess, or yard number. So we assign
11	if it's a piece of property, we'll typically assign
12	it a yard number.
13	Q Okay. And just to follow up on what my
14	last question was, so there are times or let me
09:23 15	start over.
16	Just because there's a street address
17	associated with one of your yard numbers doesn't
18	necessarily mean there's a building there, right?
19	A Correct.
09:23 20	Q It could just be literally just a yard?
21	MR. LARSON: Still vague and ambiguous as
22	to "yard."
23	THE WITNESS: How are you defining a yard?
24	I'm just trying to be clear there.
25	

01:05 1	sequence, and for all of those yards, there is some
2	number in those columns for building, contents,
3	equipment, et cetera.
4	Do you see that?
01:05 5	A I see it.
6	Q Okay. Now, is that because at the time of
7	this statement, all those yards were operational?
8	Or were there some yards that were not operational
9	but the practice at this time was to still report
01:05 10	values?
11	A In looking at this particular schedule, as
12	early as 10/1/03, I do see where we have inventory
13	values in all the locations, as well as values in
14	all the other columns. So my assumption is that
01:06 15	they were operational. I would have to go back and
16	confirm that based upon our sales results.
17	Q But auctioning cars or car parts is your
18	business, right?
19	A We don't auction the parts. We auction
01:0620	the whole car.
21	Q The whole car, okay.
22	All right. So when we come now back to
23	2004, where we have a statement that now has some of
24	the yards listed, newer yards, but with blanks in
Ø1:0625	some of the columns, did somebody anybody tell
1	

you up through this date, if the yard's not
operational, don't put don't fill in those
columns?
A No.
Q Okay. So no one at Marsh said don't do
it?
A No.
Q All right. And in your time working under
the CFO, from '97 to '03
A Right.
Q had you been exposed to some practice
whereby if the yard wasn't operational, nothing
would have been those values would not be
recorded?
A Like I said, I don't have a lot of the
history on going back to, you know, '02 and prior.
I mean, I just don't recall it.
Q Okay.
A But I would assume, once again, our
practice would have been until the project was
completed, not to assign the value to it, typically.
Q Okay. But before you became the acting
CFO in '03
A Right.
Q you were still involved from time to

02:36 <b>1</b>	A Correct. But once again, when you're
2	dealing with spreadsheets, as we noted earlier, you
3	had the 8/8 one, the same date is on it, a different
4	date on it. So when you're updating spreadsheets,
02:36 5	it's easy to overlook an item there.
6	Q Okay. Right. And I'm not saying it's a
7	big deal that the date wasn't changed. But just for
8	clarification, I think we've established that what
9	you sent Ms. McIntyre on November 1st, 2005, it
02:37 10	wasn't just the same statement she had sent you back
11	in November; you had made some changes to it and you
12	were sending it back to her, correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay.
02:37 15	All right. Now, looking at the statement
16	that's part of this exhibit, if we go to Yard 105,
17	we will see some values in columns that were
18	previously blank?
19	A Correct.
02:37 <b>20</b>	Q So I want to ask you about those values.
21	First of all, in the Building and
22	Improvements column, we now see a value of 750,000,
23	right?
24	A Yes.
02:37 25	Q Is that a number that you supplied?

i	
02:37 <b>1</b>	A I believe I did.
2	Q How did you determine that number?
3	A That's historically what it would cost for
4	us to build a large metal building.
02:38 5	Q We've talked about Yard 105, the previous
6	building there having been undergoing some
7	renovations.
8	A Mm-hmm.
9	Q When you determined the number to supply
02:38 10	in this statement, did you take into account the
11	renovations, or were you trying to just estimate the
12	cost of replacing the original building there?
13	A I tried to estimate the cost to put our
14	standard metal building on there.
02:38 15	Q Is there some degree of uniformity in the
16	design of buildings that Copart puts up at its
17	locations?
18	A We try to basically follow a very
19	cookie-cutter approach. Willis likes to call it the
02:39 20	McDonald's approach. So we had a standard large
21	standard large building and a small building.
22	So with respect to the actual building,
23	the buildings, yes, we tried to be very consistent
24	with respect to the color scheme, the layouts of
02:39 <b>25</b>	them, the counter colors, cubicle colors. That way,

Case 4:07-cv-02684-CW Document 78-4 Filed 07/24/2008 Page 14 of 14

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 4 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to 5 testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the 6 7 proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 8 9 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the 10 testimony given. 11 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 12 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of 13 the transcript  $[\chi]$  was  $[\ ]$  was not requested. 14 15 I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee 16 of any attorney or party to this action. 17 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 19 subscribed my name. 20 MAY 20 2008 21 Dated: 22 23 24 CSR No.

25